

Assessing impacts on Wild Land Areas – technical guidance – consultation

Page 2: RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

Q1. Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

Individual

Q2. Your name or your organisation/ group name

Name

Q3. email

Email

Q4. How do you wish your response to be treated?

Publish response but not my name.

Q5. If required, may we contact you regarding your response?

Yes

Page 3: CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Q6. 1) Does the 2017 draft guidance provide a clear explanation of the methodology and general principles for assessing the impact of development proposals on Wild Land Areas

No

Comments/explanations:

There is a contradiction in regards to Waterhead Moor proposed WLA. The suggested added value as a wild land is countered by its recreational use. Also its proximity to large nearby settlements with easy accessibility limits its remoteness and potential for solitude especially given overhead aeroplanes, road traffic etc. The fact the site has not been assessed since 2013 also suggest a recourse for action. If the methodology is displayed within is reflective it is of questionable usefulness as it is not clear and is contradictory

Q7. 2) Are the examples within Annex 2 helpful in illustrating the approach to assessing impacts?

Q7. 2) Are the examples within Annex 2 helpful in illustrating the approach to assessing impacts?

No

Comments/explanations:

See above