

# Assessing impacts on Wild Land Areas – technical guidance – consultation

## Page 2: RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

Q1. Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

Organisation

Q2. Your name or your organisation/ group name

**Name**

Q3. email

**Email**

Q4. How do you wish your response to be treated?

Publish response but not my name.

Q5. If required, may we contact you regarding your response?

Yes

## Page 3: CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Q6. 1) Does the 2017 draft guidance provide a clear explanation of the methodology and general principles for assessing the impact of development proposals on Wild Land Areas

No

**Comments/explanations:**

Area 25 was extended to the North following the initial consultation period, therefor denying land users the right to comment on the new extended area. The extended area 25 now includes planted and mapped areas of croft woodlands. The would appear to be contrary to your stated methodology. At a recent meeting of the North Applecross Woodlands group, all Common Grazing Clerks were agreed that these planted areas should not have been included in the extended area 25.

Q7. 2) Are the examples within Annex 2 helpful in illustrating the approach to assessing impacts?

Q7. 2) Are the examples within Annex 2 helpful in illustrating the approach to assessing impacts?

No

**Comments/explanations:**

Area 25 was extended to the North following the initial consultation period, therefor denying land users the right to comment on the new extended area. The extended area 25 now includes planted and mapped areas of croft woodlands. This would appear to be contrary to your stated methodology. At a recent meeting of the North Applecross Woodlands group, all Common Grazing Clerks were agreed that these planted areas should not have been included in the extended area 25.